ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿ ಗೌಡ.—ಹೋದ ವರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗ್-ಆಥರೈಸ್ಡ್ ಎಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಕಬ್ಬುವೇಷ್ಟ ಮಾಡಿದವರಿಗೆ ಜುಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಿರಿ. ಅಂತಹ ಕೇಸುಗಳು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟಿವೆ ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—That information is not available.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಎಸ್. ಬೊಮ್ಮೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅನಧಿಕೃತ ಸಾಗುವಳಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 328 ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯ ಕೂಲಿಗಾರರ ಪೈಕಿ ಜುಮೀನು ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುವ ಕೇಸುಗಳು ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನೆನಾದರೂ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Mostly they are adjoining holders. Each case will have to be decided on merits.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Out of the 318, how many are political sufferers.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—None of them are political sufferers.

SHIFTING OF MENTAL HEALTH INSTITUTE, BANGALORE

*Q.—372. Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Mental Health Institute, Bangalore, is likely to be shiftad outside the State of Mysore ;

(*b*) whether Government have decided to bifurcate the Superintendent of Mental Hospital from the Director of Medatal Health Institute

(*c*) whether such a move is in consultation and concurrence of the Government of India and Government of Mysore ;

(*d*) the amount spent for the present expansion of Mental Health Institute for (1) buildings, (2) equipment, etc., and how does the Government propose to utilise them, if the Mental Health Institute is shifted ;

(*e*) what efforts Government have made to retain the Mental Health Institute in Mysore State ?

A.—Dr. K. K. HEGDE (Minister for Health).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) Yes.

(c) The decision was intimated to Government of India before bifurcation.

(*d*) Building ... Rs. 6,29,000,
Equipment ... Rs. 2,37,882.

(*e*) The State Government are in correspondence with the Government of India.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—With regard to the bifurcation of the two posts, has there been any directive from the Government of India as to whether it should be an unified post or two posts and is it not a fact that this decision of Government has led to the confusion ?

†Dr. K. K. HEGDE.—There is no confusion. The Central Government was kept informed at every stage of our action.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—In my question at (c) I have clearly asked if move was taken in consultation or with the concurrence of the Government of India. Mere intimation does not amount either to consultation or concurrence.

Dr. K. K. HEGDE.—When we informed the Central Government of our intention to bifurcate and when we said that the Director, who was our employee, will not continue any further, the Central Government did not raise any objection. On our saying that we are going to bifurcate, they did not raise any objection. That made us think that they agreed.

Sri V.P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Looking into the agreement, which forms the very basis of the institute, does not the attitude of the Central Government amount to an imposition on us ?

Dr. K. K. HEGDE—We have gone through all the records and we have consulted the Legal Department also. The Legal Department advised us that there is no objection in bifurcating. Even the person functioning today--I think Hon'ble Member is referring to them—is on a temporary basis till a statutory body or governing body. is constituted.

Sri S. D. KOTHAWALE.—Is it not a fact that only draft rules were prepared for the purpose of negotiating an agreement and as a matter of fact no agreement as such ever took place between this and the Central Governments ?

Dr. K. K. HEGDE.—Draft rules were framed as a temporary measure. The appointment of the Director was with reference to Govindaswamy and not the Director of the Institute or the Superintendent.

Sri S. D. KOTHAWALE.—Is it a fact that Dr. Govindaswamy is an employee of this Government as Superintendent of the Mental Hospital and that he was never appointed as *personna designata* by the Government of India with the consent of this Government to the position of Director ?

Dr. K. K. HEGDE.—Govindaswamy was then our Superintendent, an employee of the State Governmeut. When the Central Institute was constituted, they thought that there was no other man available for the appointment: They wanted the services of our Superintendent and we agreed for this appointment. We also agreed that Govindaswamy will continue as Superintendent.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is the Hon'ble Minister aware that on the floor of the Lok Sabha, the Minister for Health in the Government of India, has stated that this question is still under consideration by the Government of India, that is, the question of bifurcation ?

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—I am not aware of that, but I am aware that the matter is under correspondence.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHAYA.—Government has not told us as to what exactly is the reason for bifurcating this work. Are there any reasons forthcoming for this ?

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—I have already said on the floor of the Assembly that the reason was with reference to some criticisms levelled against the administration of the Mental Hospital established by the State. It was complained that the treatment meted out there was unsatisfactory. On that, I made certain enquiries with the Department. The departmental head told me that he has no control over the *ex-officio* Superintendent because he is an employee of the Central Government and he is not acting according to the directions given by the Director of the Department who is normally a man paid less then the Director. Therefore he is used to think this sort of thing and it was not possible to control that man. Subsequent to that the House is also aware that the Government constituted General Bhatia, a single-man commissions to go into the question and report on the feasibility and advisability of having the two posts under one man. He advised the Government that it would be in the interest of both administration and research that this should be two separate entities.

Sri L. S. VENKAJI RAO.—Is it a fact that the present Superintendent, who is now the Director, was also of the same opinion.

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—When he was Assistant Superintendent of this Mental Hospital, he came and told us with all seriousness that this post should not be together and the two should be bifurcated.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—The Minister has been pleased to say that the matter is under correspondence and Hon'ble Sri Karmakar says the matter is under consideration. May I know what is under consideration is it the site and the land, or the question of shifting ?

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—As far as the State is concerned, we have decided to have the two posts under separte heads. From the press and other sources of information, we gather that the Centre is thinking of shifting it. Our representation is that though we have decided to bifurcate the two institutions and keep them separate, we would assure the Centre that all facilities required for the institute will be forth coming. We have assured to constitute a liaison committee, if necessary, with the Central Minister as Chairman and the State Minister as vice-Chairman to go into the question as to how best both the institutions could be utilised for the benefit of the public.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Sir, you have furnished a reply 'No' in answer to clause (a). I can understand that building cannot be shifted. I would like to know whether the expert Doctors appointed by the Central Government will be sent away or will they be retained here?

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—I do not think the Centre will decide to shift. That is my humble opinion. If, against our representations, they decide to shift, as you say, they cannot shift the building.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Whether the expert doctors will be sent away?

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—If their experts go, we have separate experts.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—In the cast of the building and equipment what is the share of the Central Government and, State Government.

Sri K. K. HEGDE.—The entire amount is spent by the Centre on the buliding to extent of 6,29,000.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Equipment also is of the Cantre ?

Sri K. K. HEGDE—Yes.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—You say, it is under consideration. I want to know who exactly initiated this correspondence?

Sri K. K. HEGDE. The correspondence is between the Chief Minister and the Central Health Minister.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ನಾಗೂರ್.—ಈಗ ಇಲ್ಲ (ಇ) ಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ—" ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಭಾರತ ಸರಕಾರದೊಡನೆ ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರ ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ' ಎಂತ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಇದರ ಅರ್ಥ ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಈಗ ಸಧ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ವ್ಯವಹಾರ ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೂ ಹೀಗೇನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿರೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕ. ಕೆ. ಹೆಗ್ಡೆ.—ಈಗಲೂ ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ, ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೂ ನಡೆಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಉಂಟು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮತಿ ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ ಹಿರೇಮಠ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈಗ ಇಲ್ಲ (ಎ) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ "ಇಲ್ಲ" ಎಂತ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅಂದರೆ ಮೆಂಟರ್ ಹೆರ್ತ್ ಇಕ್ವಿಪ್ಮೆಂಟ್‌ನ್ನು ಮೈಸೂರು ರಾಜ್ಯದ್ದೆಹೊರಗಡೆಗೆ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸುವ ಸಂಭವವಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಪುನಃ (ಇ) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರ ನಡೆಸರಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ಎಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹಾಗೆ ಇದನ್ನು ಹೊರಗಡೆಗೆ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭವಿಲ್ಲದಮೇರೆ ಪತ್ರವಹಾರನ್ನೇಕೆ ನಡೆಸಬೇಕು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಕೆ. ಹೆಗ್ಡೆ.—ನಮ್ಮ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹೇಳುವುದಾದರೆ ಏನು ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರ ನಡೆಸಬೇಕಾದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಇಕ್ವಿಪ್ಮೆಂಟನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲೇ ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾವೀಗ ಈ ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರ ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.